

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 DAVID CHARLES SCHUBERT,  
9  
10 v. Petitioner,

11 KENNETH QUINN,  
12 Respondent.  
13

No. C08-0660RSL


ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

14 On April 17, 2009, the Court adopted the Report and Recommendation of the  
15 Honorable James P. Donohue, United States Magistrate Judge, and denied Mr. Schubert's  
16 habeas petition. Petitioner filed a timely motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 36), stating that  
17 there was "ERROR OF LAW" and "FRAUD ON THE COURT."

18 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district. Such motions will be  
19 granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal  
20 authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier without reasonable  
21 diligence." Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1). Petitioner's bald assertions of error and fraud do not  
22 satisfy his burden. The motion for reconsideration is therefore DENIED.  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Dated this 12th day of May, 2009.



Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge